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## Topics

- Basic concepts in epidemiology
- Incidence and Prevalence measures
- Mortality measures
- Epidemiological studies
- Relative risk and odds-ratio
- Statistical concepts in Health
- Probability distributions
- Estimation
- Hypothesis Testing


## What is Epidemiology?



Fig. 1 Epidemiology is the investigation of the mass aspects of disease. An epidemiologist attempts to determine the various factors and remedies related to a disease and its transmission among populations. (Copyright © McGraw-Hill Education)

Disease Transmission


Fig. 2: The Epidemiologic triad of a disease
TABLE 1: Factors That May Be Associated With Increased Risk of Human Disease

| Host characteristics | Types of Agents and Examples | Environmental Factors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | Biological: Bacteria, Viruses | Temperature |
| Sex | Chemical: Heavy metals, Alcohol, | Humidity |
| Race | Smoke | Altitude |
| Religion | Physical: Trauma, Radiation, Fire | Crowding |
| Customs | Housing |  |
| Occupation |  | Neighborhood |
| Genetic Profile |  | Water |
| Marital Status |  | Milk |
| Family | Food |  |
| Background |  | Radiation |
| Previous |  | Air Pollution |
| Diseases |  | Noise |
| Immune status |  |  |

## Measures of Morbidity: INCIDENCE RATE

Incidence rate per 1,000 =
No. of new cases of a disease occurring in the population during a specified period of time
Total person-time (the sum of the time periods of observation of each person who has been observed for all or part of the entire time period)


In this rate, the result has been multiplied by 1,000 so that we can express the incidence Per 1,000 persons.

## Important Facts about Incidence Rate

- NEW cases of disease.
- Incidence rate is a measure of events-the disease is identified in a person who develops the disease and did not have the disease previously.
- Incidence rate is a measure of risk since it is a measure of events (i.e., transition from a non-diseased to a diseased state)
- Two types of denominators: people at risk who are observed throughout a defined time period; or, when all people are not observed for the full time period, person-time (or units of time when each person is observed)
- This risk can be looked at in any population group, such as a particular age group, among males or females, in an occupational group, or a group that has been exposed to a certain environmental agent


## PREVALENCE

- Prevalence is defined as the number of affected persons present in the population at a specific time divided by the number of persons in the population at that time;
- Prevalence is the proportion of the population is affected by the disease at that time



## Two Types of Prevalence

- Point prevalence: Prevalence of the disease at a certain point in time
- Period prevalence: How many people have had the disease at any point during a certain time period?


Fig 3.1 Example of incidence and point prevalence


Fig 3.2 Example of incidence and period prevalence

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INCIDENCE AND PREVALENCE

- In a steady-state situation, in which the disease rates are not changing and in- migration equals out- migration, and when the prevalence is not too high, the following equation applies:

Prevalence $=$ Incidence $\times$ Duration of Disease

TABLE 3.4 HYPOTHETICAL EXAMPLE OF CHEST X-RAY SCREEING: 3. PREVALENCE, INCIDENT, AND DURATION.

| Screened <br> population | Point <br> Prevalence <br> Per 1,000 | Incidence <br> (Occurrences/ye <br> ar) | Duration <br> (year) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hi-town | 100 | 4 | 25 |
| Lo-town | 60 | 20 | 3 |

## A proportion is not a rate

FIG. Breast cancer incidence rates in white women and distribution of cases by age, 2000-13.( Data from www.seer.cancer.gov)


## Measures of Mortality

- The absolute number of people dying from cancer is seen increasing significantly through the year 2014
- Can we say that the risk of dying from cancer is increasing?
- If, for example, the size of the US population is also increasing at the same rate, then what happens to the risk of dying from cancer?


Fig 4.1 Trend in observed numbers of cancers deaths for in the United States 1969-2014.(Data from HK Anderson RN Coleman king SM, et al. (2016))

## All Cause Mortality Rate

Total no. of deaths from all causes in 1 year
x100,000
No. of persons in the population at midyear

We can have age-specific and cause-specific mortality rates

## Case Fatality

## Case-fatality (\%) =

No. of individuals dying during a specified period of time after disease onset or diagnosis

No. of individuals with the specified disease

## What is the difference between case-fatality and a mortality rate?

Denominators

Case-fatality is a measure of the severity of the disease.
Assume a population of 100,000 people of whom 20 are sick with disease $X$, and in 1 year, 18 of the 20
die from disease $X$
Mortality rate from disease $X=\frac{18}{100,000}=0.00018$, or
$0.018 \%$ 0.018\%

Case-fatality from disease $X=\frac{18}{20}=0.9$, or $90 \%$

## Epidemiological Studies

- Cross-sectional studies
- Case-Control Studies
- Cohort Studies


## Primary Goals of Epidemiological Investigation

## Exposure



## Disease

## Cross sectional studies

- A cross-sectional study is an observational study in which exposure and disease are determined at the same point in time in a given population
- The temporal relationship between exposure and disease cannot be determined
- Example: Study the possible relationship of increased serum cholesterol level (exposure) to evidence of coronary heart disease (CHD, the disease).


## Design of a Cross-Sectional Study



Disease No Disease


| Disease |  | No D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed | a | b |
| Not Exposed | c | d |

Disease No Disease


## Designing a Case-Control Study



## Example: Case-Control Study of Lung Cancer and Tobacco Smoking



Source: Wynder and Graham.(1950).JAMA, 143:329-336.

## Design of a Cohort Study



Then, follow to see whether
Calculate and compare

|  |  | Disease develops | Disease does not develop | Totals | Incidence of disease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First, identify | Exposed | a | b | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ | $\frac{a}{a+b}$ |
|  | Not exposed | c | d | $\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}$ | $\frac{c}{c+d}$ |

$$
\frac{a}{a+b}=\text { Incidence in exposed } \frac{c}{c+d}=\text { Incidence in not exposed }
$$

## Framingham Study



## Relative Risk or Risk Ratio

## Risk in exposed <br> Relative risk (RR) <br> Risk in non-exposed

$R R=1$, risk in exposed equal to unexposed (no association)
$R R>1$, risk in exposed greater than unexposed (positive association)
$R R<1$, risk in exposed lesser than unexposed (negative association)

## Cohort Study

Then follow to see whether
Calculate and compare


## Cohort Study

Then follow to see whether calculate

|  |  | Develop CHD | Do not develop CHD | Totals | Incidence of disease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First select | Smoke cigarettes | 84 | 2916 | 3000 | $\frac{84}{3000}$ |
|  | Do not smoke cigarettes | 87 | 4913 | 5000 | $\frac{87}{5000}$ |

$$
\text { Relative Risk }=\frac{\frac{84}{\frac{3000}{87}}}{5000}=\frac{28.0}{17.4}=1.61
$$

## Odds Ratio (Relative Odds)

- "Odds" is often known as the ratio of money that may be won versus the amount of money bet
- In statistics, an odds of an event is the ratio of:
- The probability that the event WILL occur to the probability that the event will NOT occur
- For example, in 100 births, the probability of a delivery being a boy is $51 \%$ and being a girl is 49\%
- The odds of a delivery being a boy is $\mathbf{5 1 / 4 9}=\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$
- In simpler term, an odds of an event can be calculated as:
- Number of events divided by number of non-events


## Calculating Odds in a Cohort Study

|  | Develop <br> Disease | Do Not <br> Develop <br> Disease |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed | a | b |
| Non-exposed | c | d |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The odds that an exposed } \\
& \text { person develops disease }
\end{aligned} \quad=\frac{a}{b}
$$

$\begin{aligned} & \text { The odds that a non-exposed } \\ & \text { person develops disease }\end{aligned}=\frac{c}{d}$

## Calculating Odds in a Cohort Study

|  | Develop <br> Disease | Do Not <br> Develop <br> Disease |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed | a | b |
| Non-exposed | c | d |

Odds ratio is the ratio of the odds of disease in the exposed to the odds of disease in the non-exposed

$$
\text { OR }=\frac{\text { odds that an exposed person develops the disease }}{\text { odds that a non-exposed person develops the disease }}=\frac{\overline{\bar{b}}}{\frac{\bar{c}}{d}}
$$

## Calculating Odds Ratio in a Case-Control Study

|  | Case | Control |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| History of <br> Exposure | a | b |
| No History of <br> Exposure | c | d |

The odds that a case was exposed $=\frac{a}{c}$
The odds that a control was exposed $=\frac{b}{d}$

## Calculating Odds Ratio in a Case-Control Study

|  | Case | Control |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| History of <br> Exposure | a | b |
| No History of <br> Exposure | c | d |

Odds ratio (OR) is the ratio of the odds that a case was exposed to the odds that a control was exposed
a

$$
\mathrm{OR}=\frac{\text { odds that a case was exposed }}{\text { odds that a control was exposed }}=\frac{\bar{c}}{\frac{\mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{~d}}}
$$

## Interpreting Odds Ratio of a Disease

- If $\mathrm{OR}=1$
- Exposure is not related to disease
- No association; independent
- If OR > 1
- Exposure is positively related to disease
- Positive association; ? causal
- If $\mathbf{O R}<1$
- Exposure is negatively related to disease
- Negative association; ? protective


## Odds Ratio versus Relative Risk

- Odds ratio can be calculated in a cohort study and in a casecontrol study
- The exposure odds ratio is equal to the disease odds ratio
- Relative risk can only be calculated in a cohort study


## Random Variable and Distribution

- A random variable is a function that assigns numeric values to different events in a sample space.
- Two types of random variables: discrete and continuous.
- Otolaryngology Otitis media: Let $X$ be the random variable that represents the number of episodes of otitis media in the first 2 years of life. Then $X$ is a discrete random variable, which takes on the values $0,1,2$, and so on.
- A probability-mass function is a mathematical relationship, or rule, that assigns to any possible value $r$ of a discrete random variable $X$ the probability $\operatorname{Pr}(X=r)$. This assignment is made for all values $r$ that have positive probability. The probability-mass function is sometimes also called a probability distribution.


## Binomial Distribution

- The distribution of the number of successes in $n$ statistically independent trials, where the probability of success on each trial is $p$, is known as the binomial distribution and has a probability-mass function given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X=k)=\binom{n}{k} p^{k} q^{n-k}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

What is the probability of obtaining 2 boys out of 5 children if the probability of a boy is .51 at each birth and the sexes of successive children are considered independent random variables?

Use a binomial distribution with $n=5, p=.51, k=2$. Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(X=2) & =\binom{5}{2}(.51)^{2}(.49)^{3}=\frac{5 \times 4}{2 \times 1}(.51)^{2}(.49)^{3} \\
& =10(.51)^{2}(.49)^{3}=.306
\end{aligned}
$$

## Continuous Random Variable

- The probability-density function of the random variable $X$ is a function such that the area under the density-function curve between any two points $a$ and $b$ is equal to the probability that the random variable $X$ falls between $a$ and $b$. Thus, the total area under the density-function curve over the entire range of possible values for the random variable is 1.
- A pdf for DBP in 35 - to 44-year-old men is shown below. Areas $A, B$, and $C$ correspond to the probabilities of being mildly hypertensive, moderately hypertensive, and severely hypertensive, respectively. Furthermore, the most likely range of values for DBP occurs around 80 mm Hg , with the values becoming increasingly less likely as we move farther away from 80.



## Chi-Square Distribution

If $G=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}$
where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \sim N(0,1)$
and the $X_{i}^{\prime} s$ are independent, then G is said to follow a chi-square distribution with $\boldsymbol{n}$ degrees of freedom (df). The distribution is often denoted by $\chi_{n}^{2}$.

Graphic display of the percentiles of a $\chi_{5}^{2}$ distribution


## Estimation

- Suppose we measure the systolic blood pressure (SBP) of a group of Indian villagers and we believe the underlying distribution is normal. How can the mean and variance of this distribution be estimated? How precise are our estimates?
- We can select a random sample and base our inferences on it.
- Point Estimator: A natural estimator to use for estimating the population mean is the sample mean

Confidence Interval for the Mean of a Normal Distribution

- Interval Estimator: A $100 \% \times(1-\alpha)$ CI for the mean $\mu$ of a normal distribution with unknown variance is given by

$$
\left(\bar{x}-t_{n-1,1-\alpha / 2} s / \sqrt{n}, \bar{x}+t_{n-1,1-\alpha / 2} s / \sqrt{n}\right)
$$

A shorthand notation for the CI is

$$
\bar{x} \pm t_{n-1,1-\alpha / 2} s / \sqrt{n}
$$

## Testing of Hypothesis

- Suppose the "average" cholesterol level in children is $175 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$. A group of men who have died from heart disease within the past year are identified, and the cholesterol levels of their offspring are measured. Two hypotheses are considered:

The null hypothesis, denoted by $H_{0}$, is the hypothesis that is to be tested. The alternative hypothesis, denoted by $H_{1}$ is the hypothesis that in some sense contradicts the null hypothesis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \mu=175 \\
& H_{1}: \mu>175
\end{aligned}
$$

## One-sample t-test

## One-Samplet $\boldsymbol{t}$ Test for the Mean of a Normal Distribution with Unknown Variance (Alternative Mean < Null Mean)

To test the hypothesis $H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0}, \sigma$ unknown vs. $H_{1}: \mu<\mu_{0}, \sigma$ unknown with a significance level of $\alpha$, we compute
$t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{s / \sqrt{n}}$
If $t<t_{n-1, \alpha}$, then we reject $H_{0}$. If $t \geq t_{n-1, \alpha}$, then we accept $H_{0}$.
$p$-value: probability of obtaining a test statistic as extreme as or more extreme than the actual test statistic obtained, given that the null hypothesis is true.

## Example: Longitudinal Study

(1) Identify a group of nonpregnant, premenopausal women of childbearing age (16-49 years) who are not currently OC users, and measure their blood pressure, which will be called the baseline blood pressure.
(2) Rescreen these women 1 year later to ascertain a subgroup who have remained nonpregnant throughout the year and have become OC users. This subgroup is the study population.
(3) Measure the blood pressure of the study population at the follow-up visit.
(4) Compare the baseline and follow-up blood pressure of the women in the study population to determine the difference between blood pressure levels of women when they were using the pill at follow-up and when they were not using the pill at baseline.

This is a paired data since each data point in the first sample is matched and is related to a unique data point in the second sample.

## Paired t-test

SBP levels ( mm Hg ) in 10 women while not using (baseline) and while using (follow-up) OCs

| i | SBP level while not using OCs $\left(x_{i 1}\right)$ | SBP level while using OCs ( $x_{i 2}$ ) | $d_{i}^{*}$ | Paired $t$ Test <br> Denote the test statistic $\bar{d} /\left(s_{d} / \sqrt{n}\right)$ by $t$, where $s_{d}$ is the sample standard deviation of the observed differences: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 115 | 128 | 13 |  |
| 2 | 112 | 115 | 3 |  |
| 3 | 107 | 106 | -1 | $s_{d}=\sqrt{ }\| \| \sum^{n} d_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum^{n} d_{i}\right)^{2} / n \mid /(n-1)$ |
| 4 | 119 | 128 | 9 | $\left.\sum_{i=1} \quad\left(\sum_{i=1}\right)^{2}\right]$ |
| 5 | 115 | 122 | 7 | $n=$ number of matched pairs |
| 6 | 138 | 145 | 7 | If $t>t \quad$ or $t<-t$ |
| 7 | 126 | 132 | 6 | ,- $/ 2$ or $t<-t_{n-1,1-\alpha / 2}$ |
| 8 | 105 | 109 | $4 \sim$ | then $H_{0}$ is rejected. |
| 9 | 104 | 102 | -2 |  |
| 10 | 115 | 117 | 2 |  |

$$
{ }^{*} d_{i}=x_{12}-x_{i 1}
$$

Assume that the SBP of the $i$ th woman is normally distributed at baseline with mean $\mu_{i}$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$ and at follow-up with mean $\mu_{i}+\Delta$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$.

## Two-sample t-test

- Suppose a sample of eight 35- to 39-year-old nonpregnant, premenopausal OC users who work in a company and have a mean systolic blood pressure of 132.86 mm Hg and sample standard deviation of 15.34 mm Hg are identified. A sample of 21 nonpregnant, premenopausal, non-OC users in the same age group are similarly identified who have mean SBP of 127.44 mm Hg and sample standard deviation of 18.23 mm Hg . What can be said about the underlying mean difference in blood pressure between the two groups?


## Two-Sample $t$ Test for Independent Samples with Equal Variances

 Suppose we wish to test the hypothesis $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ vs. $H_{1}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ with a significance level of $\alpha$ for two normally distributed populations, where $\sigma^{2}$ is assumed to be the same for each population.Compute the test statistic:

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{s \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}}}
$$

where $\quad s=\sqrt{\left[\left(n_{1}-1\right) s_{1}^{2}+\left(n_{2}-1\right) s_{2}^{2}\right] /\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-2\right)}$
If $t>t_{n_{1}+n_{2}-2,1-\alpha / 2}$ or $t<-t_{n_{1}+n_{2}-2,1-\alpha / 2}$
then $H_{0}$ is rejected.

## Two-sample Binomial Test

- Suppose we are interested in the association between oral contraceptive (OC) use and the 5-year incidence of ovarian cancer from January 1, 2003 to January 1, 2008. Women who are diseasefree on January 1, 2003 are classified into two OC-use categories as of that date: ever users and never users. We are interested in whether the 5 -year incidence of ovarian cancer is different between ever users and never users.

Two-Sample Test for Binomial Proportions (Normal-Theory Test)
To test the hypothesis $H_{0}: p_{1}=p_{2}$ vs. $H_{1}: p_{1} \neq p_{2}$, where the proportions are obtained from two independent samples, use the following procedure:
(1) Compute the test statistic

$$
z=\frac{\left|\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{p}_{2}\right|-\left(\frac{1}{2 n_{1}}+\frac{1}{2 n_{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{\hat{p} \hat{q}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}
$$

where $\hat{p}=\frac{n_{1} \hat{p}_{1}+n_{2} \hat{p}_{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}=\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}, \hat{q}=1-\hat{p}$
and $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are the number of events in the first and second samples, respectively.
(2) For a two-sided level $\alpha$ test,
if $z>z_{1-\alpha / 2}$
then reject $H_{0}$;
if $\quad z \leq z_{1-\alpha / 2}$
then accept $H_{0}$.
(3) The approximate $p$-value for this test is given by

$$
p=2[1-\Phi(z)]
$$

## Example: Epidemiological Study

Cardiovascular Disease A study looked at the effects of OC use on heart disease in women 40 to 44 years of age. The researchers found that among 5000 current OC users at baseline, 13 women developed a myocardial infarction (MI) over a 3-year period, whereas among 10,000 non-OC users, 7 developed an MI over a 3-year period. Assess the statistical significance of the results.

Note that $n_{1}=5000, \hat{p}_{1}=13 / 5000=.0026, n_{2}=10,000, \hat{p}_{2}=7 / 10,000=.0007$. We want to test the hypothesis $H_{0}: p_{1}=p_{2}$ vs. $H_{1}: p_{1} \neq p_{2}$. The best estimate of the common proportion $p$ is given by

$$
\hat{p}=\frac{13+7}{15,000}=\frac{20}{15,000}=.00133
$$

Because $n_{1} \hat{p} \hat{q}=5000(.00133)(.99867)=6.7, n_{2} \hat{p} \hat{q}=10,000(.00133)(.99867)=13.3$, the normal-theory test in Equation 10.3 can be used. The test statistic is given by

$$
z=\frac{|.0026-.0007|-\left[\frac{1}{2(5000)}+\frac{1}{2(10,000)}\right]}{\sqrt{.00133(.99867)(1 / 5000+1 / 10,000)}}=\frac{.00175}{.00063}=2.77
$$

The $p$-value is given by $2 \times[1-\Phi(2.77)]=.006$. Thus there is a highly significant difference between MI incidence rates for current OC users vs. non-OC users. In other words, OC use is significantly associated with MI incidence over a 3-year period.

## Contingency Tables

|  | Ml status over 3 years |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OC-use group | Yes |  | No |

## Chi-Square Test Statistic

- The test statistic is:

$$
c^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\lfloor\frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}\right\rfloor
$$

- The degrees of freedom are:
- (r-1)(c-1)
- $\mathrm{r}=$ \# of rows and $\mathrm{c}=$ \# of columns
- Where:
$-O_{i}=$ the observed frequency in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ cell of the table
$-E_{i}=$ the expected frequency in the $i^{\text {th }}$ cell of the table


## Guidelines for Interpreting the X23 Statistic

- The $\chi^{2}$ statistic is calculated under the assumption of no association
- Large value of $\chi^{2}$ statistic $\Rightarrow$ small probability of occurring by chance alone ( $p<0.05$ ) $\Rightarrow$ conclude that association exists between disease and exposure
- Small value of $\chi^{2}$ statistic $\Rightarrow$ large probability of occurring by chance alone ( $p>0.05$ ) $\Rightarrow$ conclude that no association exists between disease and exposure


## Example: Test of Association

|  | Ml status over 3 years |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| OC-use group | Yes | No |
| Current OC users | 6.7 | 4993.3 |
| Non-OC users | 13.3 | 9986.7 |
| Total | 20 | 14,980 |

The test statistic is 7.67 . From chi-square tables we see that the result implies a significant difference between the OC and non-OC groups.

## Thank you

